



Қазақстан Республикасы
Фылым және жоғары білім министрлігі
Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы
Тарих және этнология институты

ОТАН ТАРИХЫ

2025. №28 (4) ОТАН ТАРИХЫ



- ТАРИХ
- ЭТНОЛОГИЯ
- АРХЕОЛОГИЯ



2025. №28 (4)

ISSN 1814-6961
E-ISSN 2788-9718

ISSN: 1814-6961 (print)
ISSN: 2788-9718 (online)

Отан тарихы
Отечественная история
History of the Homeland

Үш айда бір рет шығатын ғылыми журнал
2025. № 28 (4)

РЕДАКЦИЯ

Бас редактор

Қабылдинов Зиябек Ермұханұлы – тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА академигі, Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институтының бас директоры (Қазақстан Республикасы, Алматы қ.)

Редакциялық алқа

Әбіл Еркін Аманжолұлы – тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор (Қазақстан Республикасы, Астана қ.)

Абдырахманов Толобек Әбілұлы – тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, Қыргыз Республикасы Үлттық ғылым академиясының корреспондент-мүшесі (Қыргыз Республикасы, Бішкек)

Апендиев Тимур Әкімханұлы – PhD докторы, доцент, жетекші ғылыми қызметкер, Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институты (Қазақстан Республикасы, Алматы қ.)

Горишинина Светлана Михайловна – PhD, тарих ғылымдарының кандидаты; CNRS Eur'Orbem, UMR 8224, CNRS/ Sorbonne университетінің зерттеу бөлімінің директоры (Франция, Париж)

Исмагұлов Оразақ Исмагұлұлы – тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР Қазақстан Республикасы Үлттық музейінің физикалық антропология зертханасының менгерушісі (Қазақстан Республикасы, Астана қ.)

Шинджи Като – PhD (археология бойынша), Нарадағы мәдени құндылықтардың үлттық ғылыми-зерттеу институты (Жапония, Нара)

Бирсель Каракоч – Уппсала университетінің түркі тілдерінің профессоры (Швеция, Уппсала қ.)

Мионг Сун-ок – антропология ғылымдарының докторы, қауымдастырылған профессор (Корея, Сеул)

Козыбаева Махаббат Маликовна – PhD доктор; Л.Н.Гумилев атындағы Еуразия үлттық университетінің «Алаш» мәдениет және рухани даму институтының жетекші ғылыми қызметкері (Қазақстан Республикасы, Астана қаласы)

Моррисон Александр – PhD, NewCollege профессоры, Оксфорд (Ұлыбритания, Оксфорд)

Мотузайт-Матузевичюте Гидре – археология ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, Вильнюс университетінің «Биоархеология» ғылыми орталығының жетекшісі (Литва, Вильнюс)

Муминов Эшірбек Құрбанұлы – тарих ғылымдарының докторы, арабтанушы, профессор; ИҚҰ (Орталық Азия) үйымдастыру қызметінің бас директорының кеңесшісі (Түркія, Стамбул)

Нұрсан Әлімбай – тарих ғылымдарының кандидаты, профессор, Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институтының бас ғылыми қызметкері (Қазақстан Республикасы, Алматы қ.)

Отепова Гүлфира Елубайқызы – тарих ғылымдарының докторы, Ә. Марғұлан атындағы Павлодар педагогикалық университетінің профессоры (Қазақстан Республикасы, Павлодар қ.)

Оутрам Алан – археология ғылымдарының докторы, Эксетер университетінің археология және тарих кафедрасының профессоры (Ұлыбритания, Эксетер)

Романова Екатерина Назаровна – Солтүстіктің байырғы халықтарының мәселелері және гуманитарлық зерттеулер институтының этнологиялық зерттеулер орталығының жетекшісі (АН СР(Ы) ХР) (Ресей Федерациясы, Якутск қ.)

Рююсuke Оно – Васеда университетінің терендетілген гуманитарлық зерттеулер орталығының доценті (Жапония, Токио)

Сәбитов Жақсылық Мұратұлы – Жошы Ұлысын зерттеу ғылыми институтының директоры, PhD доктор (Қазақстан Республикасы, Астана қаласы)

Томохико Уяма – PhD докторы, Хоккайдо университетінің славян және еуразиялық зерттеулер орталығының профессоры (Жапония, Саппоро)

Финке Питер – PhD докторы, Цюрих университетінің Макс Планк институтының профессоры (Швейцария, Цюрих)

Шотанова Галия Айтжанқызы – тарих ғылымдарының кандидаты, Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институтының жетекші ғылыми қызметкері (Қазақстан Республикасы, Алматы қ.)

Жауапты редактор

Абдулина Ақсұңқар Тұрсынқызы

Жауапты хатшы және редактор

Мырзаходжаев Куаныш Мәдиұлы

Редакторлар

Қасымова Дидар Бейсенгалиқызы

Черниенко Денис Аркадьевич

Досымбетов Нұрлыбек Айдарбекұлы

Көбеев Рұстем Джасаулыбайұлы

Техникалық көмек

Зікірбаева Венера Серікқызы

Копеева Сания Жұматайқызы

РЕДАКЦИЯ

Главный редактор

Кабульдинов Зиябек Ермуханович – доктор исторических наук, профессор, академик НАН РК, генеральный директор Института истории и этнологии имени Ч.Ч. Валиханова (Республика Казахстан, г. Алматы)

Члены редакционной коллегии

Абиль Еркин Аманжолович – доктор исторических наук, профессор (Республика Казахстан, г. Астана)

Абдырахманов Толобек Абилович – доктор исторических наук, профессор, член-корреспондент НАН КР (Кыргызская Республика, г. Бишкек)

Апендиев Тимур Акимханович – PhD, ассоциированный профессор, ведущий научный сотрудник Института истории и этнологии им. Ч.Ч. Валиханова (Республика Казахстан, г. Алматы)

Горшигина Светлана Михайловна – доктор PhD, кандидат исторических наук; директор по исследованиям CNRS Eur'Orbem, UMR 8224, CNRS/Университет Сорбонны (Франция, г. Париж)

Исмагулов Оразак Исмагулович – доктор исторических наук, профессор, академик НАН РК, заведующий лабораторией физической антропологии Национального музея РК (Республика Казахстан, Астана)

Като Синдзи (Shinji Kato) – PhD (в области археологии), Национальный научно-исследовательский институт культурных ценностей в Наре (Япония, г. Нара)

Бирсель Каракоч, профессор тюркских языков, Уппсальский университет (Швеция, г. Уппсала)

Мионг Сун-ок – доктор антропологии, ассоциированный профессор (Корея, г. Сеул)

Козыбаева Махаббат Маликовна – доктор PhD; ведущий научный сотрудник Института культуры и духовного развития «Алаш» Евразийского национального университета имени Л.Н. Гумилева (Республика Казахстан, Астана)

Моррисон Александр – PhD, профессор NewCollege, Оксфорд (Великобритания, Оксфорд)

Мотузайтэ-Матузевиччюте Гидре – доктор археологии, профессор, руководитель Научного центра «Биоархеология» Вильнюсского университета, (Литва, г. Вильнюс)

Муминов Аширбек Курбанович – доктор исторических наук, арабист, профессор; консультант Генерального директора по организационной деятельности ОИК (Центральная Азия), (Турция, Стамбул)

Нурсан Алимбай – кандидат исторических наук, профессор, главный научный сотрудник Института истории и этнологии им. Ч.Ч. Валиханова (Республика Казахстан, г. Алматы)

Отепова Гульфира Елубаевна – доктор исторических наук, профессор Павлодарского педагогического университета им. А.Х. Маргулана (Республика Казахстан, г. Павлодар)

Оутрам Алан – доктор археологических наук, профессор департамента археологии и истории университета Эксетере (Великобритания, г. Эксетер)

Романова Екатерина Назаровна, руководитель Центра этнологических исследований Института гуманитарных исследований и проблем малочисленных народов Севера (ИГИ АН РС(Я)) (Российская Федерация, г. Якутск)

Рююсuke Оно – доцент, Центр перспективных гуманитарных исследований, Университет Васеда (Япония, г. Токио)

Сабитов Жаксылык Муратович – директор Научного института изучения Улуса Джучи, доктор PhD (Республика Казахстан, г. Астана)

Томохико Уяма – PhD, профессор Центра славянско-евразийских исследований университета Хоккайдо (Япония, г. Саппоро)

Финке Петер, доктор PhD, профессор Института Макса Планка, университет Цюриха (Швейцария, г. Цюрих)

Шотанова Галия Айтжановна – кандидат исторических наук, ведущий научный сотрудник Института истории и этнологии им. Ч.Ч. Валиханова (Республика Казахстан, г. Алматы)

Ответственный редактор

Абдулина Аксункар Турсуновна

Ответственный секретарь и редактор

Мурзаходжаев Куаныш Мадиевич

Научные редакторы:

Касымова Дидар Бейсенгалиевна

Черниенко Денис Аркадьевич

Досымбетов Нурлыбек Айдарбекович

Кубеев Рустем Джасулыбайулы

Техническое сопровождение

Зикирбаева Венера Сериковна

Копеева Сания Жуматаевна

EDITORIAL TEAM**Editor-in-Chief**

Kabuldinov Ziyabek Ermukhanovich – Doctor of Historical Sciences Professor, Academician of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, general Director of Valikhanov Institute of History and Ethnology (Republic of Kazakhstan, Almaty)

Editorial board members

Abil Erkin Amanzholovich – Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor (Republic of Kazakhstan, Astana)

Abdyrakhmanov Tolobek Abilovich – Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Corresponding Member of the National Academy of Sciences of the Kyrgyz Republic (Kyrgyz Republic, Bishkek)

Apendiev Timur Akimkhanovich – PhD, associate professor, leading researcher at the Ch.Ch. Valikhanov Institute of History and Ethnology (Republic of Kazakhstan, Almaty)

Gorshenina Svetlana Mikhailovna – PhD, Candidate of Historical Sciences; Research Director of CNRS Eur'Orbem, UMR 8224, CNRS/Sorbonne University (France, Paris)

Ismagulov Orazak Ismagulovich – Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Academician of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, Head of the Laboratory of Physical Anthropology of the National Museum of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Republic of Kazakhstan, Astana)

Shinji Kato – PhD (Archaeology), Nara National Research Institute for Cultural Properties (Japan, Nara)

Birsel Karakoch – Professor of Turkic Languages, Uppsala University (Sweden, Uppsala)

Myong Soon-ok – PhD (Anthropology), Associate Professor (Seoul, Korea)

Kozybaeva Makhabbat Malikovna – PhD; Leading Researcher, Institute of Culture and Spiritual Development «Alash» of the L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University (Republic of Kazakhstan, Astana)

Morrison Alexander – PhD, Professor, New College, Oxford (United Kingdom, Oxford)

Motuzaite-Matuzevichute Gidré – Doctor of Archaeology, Professor, Head of the Scientific Center «Bioarchaeology» of Vilnius University, (Lithuania, Vilnius)

Muminov Ashirbek Kurbanovich – Doctor of Historical Sciences, Arabist, Professor; Consultant to the Director General for Organizational Activities of the OIC (Central Asia), (Turkey, Istanbul)

Nursan Alimbay – Candidate of Historical Sciences, Professor, Chief Researcher at the Ch.Ch. Valikhanov Institute of History and Ethnology (Republic of Kazakhstan, Almaty)

Otepova Gulfira Elubayevna – Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor at the A. Margulan Pavlodar Pedagogical University (Republic of Kazakhstan, Pavlodar)

Outram Alan – Doctor of Archaeological Sciences, Professor of the Department of Archaeology and History, University of Exeter (Great Britain, Exeter)

Romanova Ekaterina Nazarovna – Head of the Center for Ethnological Research, Institute for Humanitarian Research and Problems of Indigenous Peoples of the North (IHR RAS (Yakutia)) (Russian Federation, Yakutsk)

Ryuosuke Ono – Associate Professor, Center for Advanced Humanitarian Studies, Waseda University (Japan, Tokyo)

Sabitov Zhaksylyk Muratovich – Director of the Scientific Institute for the Study of the Ulus of Jochi, PhD (Republic of Kazakhstan, Astana)

Tomohiko Uyama – PhD, Professor, Center for Slavic-Eurasian Studies, Hokkaido University (Japan, Sapporo)

Finke Peter – PhD, Professor, Max Planck Institute, University of Zurich (Switzerland, Zurich)

Shotanova Galiya Aitzhanovna – Candidate of historical sciences, leading researcher at the Ch.Ch. Valikhanov Institute of History and Ethnology (Republic of Kazakhstan, Almaty)

Editor-in-Chief

Abdulina Aksunkar Tursunovna

Responsible Secretary and Editor

Murzakhodjaev Kuanysh Madievich

Scientific Editors:

Kasyanova Didar Beysengalievna

Chernienko Denis Arkadyevich

Dosymbetov Nurlymbek Aidarbekovich

Kubeev Rustem Dzhaubayuly

Technical support

Zikirbaeva Venera Serikovna

Kopeeva Saniya Zhumataeva



Published in the Kazakhstan
Otan tarikhy
Has been issued as a journal
since 1998
ISSN: 1814-6961 (Print)
ISSN: 2788-9718 (Online)
2025. Vol. 28. Is. 4. Pp. 1080–
1090
Journal homepage:
<https://otan.history.iie.kz>



FTAXP / MPHTI / IRSTI 03.01.29
https://doi.org/10.51943/2788-9718_2025_28_4_1080-1090

KAZAKHSTAN KYRGYZ AND KYRGYZSTAN KAZAKHS: ETHNODEMOGRAPHIC TRANSFORMATION AND MIGRATION IN THE FIRST DECADE OF INDEPENDENCE

Zhanar Sadvakassova¹, Gulnara Kapassova²

¹D. Serikbayev East Kazakhstan technical university, (Ust-Kamenogorsk, Kazakhstan),
master of philosophy degree,
<https://orcid.org/0009-0004-3621-8594>. E-mail: Zhsadvakasova@edu.ektu.kz

²D. Serikbayev East Kazakhstan technical university, (Ust-Kamenogorsk, Kazakhstan),
master of Archaeology and Ethnology,
<https://orcid.org/0009-0008-1101-3997>. E-mail: GCapassova@edu.ektu.kz

© Valikhanov IHE, 2025
 © Sadvakassova Zh., Kapassova G., 2025

Abstract. *Introduction.* Ethnodemographic changes in the post-Soviet space, particularly concerning neighboring states and kinship peoples, highlight the relevance of this study. *Purpose and objectives.* The aim of the research is to identify the ethnodemographic transformation of Kyrgyz in Kazakhstan and Kazakhs in Kyrgyzstan during the first decade of independence (1991–2000), to analyze its causes, and to assess its impact on current ethnodemographic processes. To achieve this aim, the following tasks were set: to analyze the dynamics of the Kyrgyz population in Kazakhstan during the given period, to determine the demographic changes of the Kazakhs in Kyrgyzstan, and to evaluate the influence of the economic crisis and other factors on this transformation. *Materials and methods.* The material base of the study consists of the population census data of Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan for 1989 and 1999, the data of the Agency for Statistics of the Republic of Kazakhstan, the National Statistical Committee of the Kyrgyz Republic, official information on migration, as well as materials from traditional and online media and academic journals. The study employs historical-comparative analysis, empirical analysis, statistical methods, and demographic dynamics calculations. *Results.* The findings reveal changes in the number of Kyrgyz in Kazakhstan in the early years of independence (1991–2000). In addition, the dynamics of the Kazakh population in Kyrgyzstan were examined. The main factors behind these transformations include the economic crisis, border policy, and labor migration, to gain a deeper understanding of these factors, migration processes and economic adaptation factors were examined. *Conclusion.* The impact of these processes on current ethnodemographic trends was assessed, and recommendations for future research were proposed. The study may be useful for historians, migration specialists, and scholars of the ethnodemographic development of Kazakh and Kyrgyz Diasporas.

Keywords: Kazakhs and Kyrgyz, historical period, ethnodemography, economic adaptation, migration process, transformation.

For citation: Sadvakassova Zh., Kapassova G. Kazakstan Kyrgyz and Kyrgyzstan Kazakhs: Ethnodemographic Transformation and Migration in the First Decade of Independence // Otan tarihy. 2025. Vol. 28. № 4. Pp. 1080–1090. [in English]. DOI: 10.51943/2788-9718_2025_28_4_1080-1090

ҚАЗАҚСТАНДАҒЫ ҚЫРҒЫЗДАР МЕН ҚЫРҒЫЗСТАНДАҒЫ ҚАЗАҚТАР: ТӘҮЕЛСІЗДІКТІҢ АЛҒАШҚЫ ОҢЖЫЛДЫҒЫНДАҒЫ ӘТНОДЕМОГРАФИЯЛЫҚ ТРАНСФОРМАЦИЯ ЖӘНЕ ҚӨШІ-ҚОН

Жанар Садвакасова¹, Гульнара Капасова²

¹Д. Серікбаев атындағы Шығыс Қазақстан техникалық университеті, (Өскемен, Қазақстан), философия магистрі.

<https://orcid.org/0009-0004-3621-8594>. E-mail: Zhsadvakasova@edu.ektu.kz

²Д. Серікбаев атындағы Шығыс Қазақстан техникалық университеті, (Өскемен, Қазақстан), археология және этнология магистрі.

<https://orcid.org/0009-0008-1101-3997>. E-mail: GCapassova@edu.ektu.kz

© Ш.Ш. Үәлиханов атындағы ТӘИ, 2025

© Садвакасова Ж., Капасова Г., 2025

Анната. *Kiриспе.* Посткенестік кеңістіктегі әтнодемографиялық өзгерістер, әсіресе шекаралас елдердегі туысқан халықтардың жағдайларын зерттеу тақырыптың өзектілігін көрсетеді. *Мақсаты мен міндеттері.* Зерттеу жұмысының мақсаты – Қазақстандағы қырғыздар мен Қырғызстандағы қазақтардың тәүелсіздіктің алғашқы онжылдығындағы (1991–2000 жж.) әтнодемографиялық трансформациясын анықтау, оның себептерін талдау және қазіргі әтнодемографиялық үрдістерге ықпалын бағалау болып табылады. Осы мақсатқа жету үшін хронологиялық кезеңде Қазақстандағы қырғыз санының динамикасын талдау, осы кезеңдегі Қырғызстандағы қазақтардың демографиялық өзгерістерін айқындау, экономикалық дағдарыс және өзге де факторлардың трансформацияға әсерін бағалау сияқты міндеттерді қойдық. *Материалдар мен әдістер.* Қазақстан мен Қырғызстан бойынша 1989 және 1999 жылдардағы халық санағының материалдары, ҚР Статистика агенттігінің, Қырғызстан Ұлттық статистика комитеті деректері, қоші-қон туралы ресми мәліметтер, дәстүрлі және онлайн медиадағы, ғылыми журналдардағы мәліметтер жұмыстың материалдық базасын құрайды. Бұл зерттеу жұмысында тарихи-салыстырмалы талдау, эмпирикалық талдау, статистикалық әдіс, демографиялық динамиканы есептеу сияқты ғылыми зерттеу әдістері мен тәсілдері қолданылды. *Нәтижелер.* Нәтижесінде тәүелсіздіктің алғашқы жылдарында (1991–2000 ж.ж.) Қазақстандағы қырғыздар санының өзгеруін байқадық. Сонымен қатар, Қырғызстандағы қазақтар санының динамикасын да көрү мүмкін болды. Бұл өзгерістердің негізгі себептері ретінде экономикалық дағдарыс, шекара саясаты, еңбек миграциясы сияқты ықпалдарды атауға болады, аталған ықпалдарды тереңірек түсіну үшін қоші-қон үдерісі мен экономикалық бейімделу факторлары зерттелді. *Қорытынды.* Бұл өзгерістердің қазіргі әтнодемографиялық үдерістерге ықпалы бағаланды және болашақ зерттеулер үшін ұсыныстар берілді. Зерттеу тарихшыларға, қоші-қон саласының мамандарына және қазақ-қырғыз диаспораларының әтнодемографиялық дамуын зерделеушілерге пайдалы болуы мүмкін.

Кілт сөздер: қазақ-қырғыз, тарихи кезең, әтнодемография, экономикалық бейімделу, қоші-қон үдерісі, трансформация.

Дәйексөз үшін: Садвакасова Ж., Капасова Г. Қазақстандағы қырғыздар мен Қырғызстандағы қазақтар: тәүелсіздіктің алғашқы онжылдығындағы әтнодемографиялық трансформация және қоші-қон // Отан тарихы. 2025. Т. 28. № 4. 1080–1090-бб. [ағылшын тілінде].

DOI: 10.51943/2788-9718_2025_28_4_1080-1090

КАЗАХСТАНСКИЕ КЫРГЫЗЫ И КАЗАХИ В КЫРГЫЗСТАНЕ: ЭТНОДЕМОГРАФИЧЕСКАЯ ТРАНСФОРМАЦИЯ И МИГРАЦИЯ В ПЕРВОЕ ДЕСЯТИЛЕТИЕ НЕЗАВИСИМОСТИ

Жанар Садвакасова¹, Гульнара Капасова²

¹Восточно-Казахстанский технический университет им. Д. Серикбаева,
(Усть-Каменогорск, Казахстан),
магистр философии,
<https://orcid.org/0009-0004-3621-8594>. E-mail: Zhsadvakasova@edu.ektu.kz

²Восточно-Казахстанский технический университет им. Д. Серикбаева,
(Усть-Каменогорск, Казахстан),
магистр археологии и этнологии,
<https://orcid.org/0009-0008-1101-3997>. E-mail: GKapassova@edu.ektu.kz

© ИИЭ имени Ч.Ч. Валиханова, 2025
© Садвакасова Ж., Капасова Г., 2025

Аннотация. Введение. Этнодемографические изменения в постсоветском пространстве, особенно в условиях сопредельных стран и родственных народов, подчеркивают актуальность данного исследования. Цель и задачи. Цель исследования заключается в выявлении этнодемографической трансформации кыргызов в Казахстане и казахов в Кыргызстане в первое десятилетие независимости (1991–2000 гг.), анализе ее причин и оценке влияния на современные этнодемографические процессы. Для достижения данной цели были поставлены задачи: проанализировать динамику численности кыргызов в Казахстане в указанный период, определить демографические изменения казахов в Кыргызстане, а также оценить воздействие экономического кризиса и других факторов на трансформацию. Материалы и методы. Материальную базу исследования составляют материалы переписей населения Казахстана и Кыргызстана 1989 и 1999 годов, данные Агентства по статистике Республики Казахстан, Национального статистического комитета Кыргызской Республики, официальные сведения о миграции, а также информация из традиционных и онлайн-СМИ и научных журналов. В работе применены историко-сравнительный и эмпирический анализ, статистический метод и методы расчета демографической динамики. Результаты. В результате исследования выявлены изменения численности кыргызов в Казахстане в первые годы независимости (1991–2000 гг.). Кроме того, прослежена динамика численности казахов в Кыргызстане. Основными факторами этих изменений стали экономический кризис, пограничная политика и трудовая миграция, для более глубокого понимания указанных факторов были исследованы миграционные процессы и факторы экономической адаптации. Заключение. Оценено влияние данных процессов на современные этнодемографические тенденции и предложены рекомендации для будущих исследований. Исследование может быть полезно для историков, специалистов в области миграции и исследователей этнодемографического развития казахско-кыргызских диаспор.

Ключевые слова: казахи и кыргызы, исторический период, этнодемография, экономическая адаптация, миграционный процесс, трансформация.

Для цитирования: Садвакасова Ж., Капасова Г. Кыргызы в Казахстане и казахи в Кыргызстане: этнодемографическая трансформация и миграция в первое десятилетие независимости // Отан тарихы. 2025. Т. 28. № 4. С. 1080–1090. [на англ.]. DOI: 10.51943/2788-9718_2025_28_4_1080-1090

Introduction. Historically, the Kazakh and Kyrgyz peoples have shared common ethnic roots, traditions, and cultural practices. Even their national cuisines, wedding ceremonies, and rituals of receiving a bride bear remarkable similarities. Perhaps this is why, in many documents of the Russian Empire, Kazakhs were often referred to as «Kyrgyz». Despite these numerous similarities, they remain two distinct nations with their own independent states [Madeniet, 2019].

The first decade of independence (1991–2000) was a period of significant ethnodemographic transformation for the Central Asian countries. Following the dissolution of the Soviet Union, the newly

established states began redefining their borders, economic policies, and national institutions. The collapse of the USSR also marked the end of the long-standing confrontation between the two global political systems. Beginning in 1991, the socialist system gave way to a «market economy» and «democratic» relations in the social and economic spheres [Abdiraiymova and Sarsenbayev, 2019: 45]. In both Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan, this period brought significant changes to the dynamics of the ethnic composition. As a result, several ethnic groups left the region through repatriation or emigration, leading to an increase in the proportion of titular nationalities. This trend is clearly reflected in the population censuses conducted in 1989 and 1999. In Kazakhstan, the number of ethnic Kazakhs increased considerably, a process driven by emigration (particularly the departure of Russian and European groups) and a high natural population growth among Kazakhs.

Due to historical circumstances, there are also long-term factors influencing migration between Kazakhs and Kyrgyz. Although the two nations share a common historical past, the factor of geographical location has played a significant role in shaping their respective paths of development and influencing their future trajectories [Murzakhodzhayev et al., 2025: 168]. These factors include the migration history of the 19th–20th centuries, settlement policies during the Soviet period, and industrial migration. However, in the 1990s, additional reasons emerged – economic decline, loss of employment opportunities, national regulatory changes, and, in some cases, security concerns. The combined impact of these factors accelerated the transformation of the ethnic composition.

The ethnodemographic situation of the Kyrgyz in Kazakhstan and the Kazakhs in Kyrgyzstan serves as an important indicator reflecting the historical, cultural, and economic interconnections between the ethnic groups of these regions. In the early years of independence, migration between the two countries, family and kinship ties, as well as the consequences of economic instability, had a significant impact on the quantitative and qualitative composition of ethnic groups. Furthermore, the research findings demonstrate that the key factors influencing ethnodemographic changes between the Kazakh and Kyrgyz peoples include fluctuations in birth rates across Central Asian countries – particularly in Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan – as well as the combined effects of socio-economic and ethnic factors [Spoorenberg, 2013: 50].

The study is relevant because the processes of ethnic transformation and migration between Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan after the collapse of the Soviet Union significantly influenced the demographic balance and national identity formation in both countries.

The purpose of this study is to identify the ethnodemographic transformation of the Kyrgyz in Kazakhstan and the Kazakhs in Kyrgyzstan during the first decade of independence, to analyze its causes, and to forecast its impact on current ethnodemographic trends. The relevance of this topic lies in the need to examine how ethnodemographic relations between the two states influence regional stability and cultural interaction. Furthermore, a scientific analysis of demographic changes during the early years of independence provides an opportunity to enhance contemporary migration policy and strategies for the development of interethnic relations.

Materials and Methods. The material basis of the research consists of official data from the national statistical committees of the Republic of Kazakhstan and the Kyrgyz Republic, population census results (1989, 1999), as well as scholarly articles and open-source materials. In addition, the works of domestic and foreign scholars, scientific studies, and monographs describing ethnodemographic processes were analyzed.

In the course of the study, comparative-historical and ethnodemographic analysis methods were applied. The comparative approach made it possible to examine the demographic development of ethnic groups in both countries, the direction of migration flows, and their correlation with socio-economic conditions.

During the analysis of ethnodemographic indicators, comparative statistical methods and dynamic series were used to determine the quantitative characteristics of changes in the ethnic composition. For qualitative analysis, theoretical concepts describing ethnic identity, migration behavior, and social adaptation issues were applied.

In this study, the migration systems theory (Mabogunje, 1970: 6) is applied to analyze migration flows between Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan. This theory allows these movements to be understood as part of a regional mobility system, highlighting patterns of labor migration, settlement, and social integration across borders. In addition, the article comprehensively examines the causes and consequences of the main demographic trends in the early years of independence and assesses their impact on the current ethnodemographic situation.

Discussion. During the research period, ethnodemographic processes in Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan exhibited similar characteristics; however, they also demonstrated distinct features shaped by each country's internal socio-economic and political conditions. The collapse of the Soviet system and the transition to market relations increased social mobility, which, in turn, generated new directions of migration flows.

Despite the disintegration of the Soviet Union, post-Soviet states continued to maintain active cooperation in the political, economic, and social spheres. Regular interstate meetings contributed to forming a normative framework that regulated mutual relations among these newly independent countries.

A number of scientific studies were also conducted to explore the causes and consequences of the Soviet Union's collapse. For instance, in November–December 1990, a comparative sociological study was carried out in Azerbaijan, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Latvia, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan, and other republics. In each republic, 1,000 Russian respondents and 1,000 representatives of the titular nationality were surveyed. The research involved urban residents aged 16 and older and provided comparative data on interethnic relations at the end of the Soviet era [Gudkov, 1993: 52]». This describes the ethnodemographic situation on the eve of the collapse of the Soviet Union, outlining the initial context of interethnic relations between Kazakhs and Kyrgyz. It can be used to compare the ethnodemographic changes of the first decade of independence with the interethnic foundations of the late Soviet period.

During the first decade of independence, issues of living standards and regional inequality among ethnic communities in Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan became increasingly evident. At that time, energy and infrastructural constraints in rural areas also influenced ethnodemographic processes. For example, according to researchers, «access to modern and clean energy infrastructure such as natural gas pipelines and centralized heating systems reduces the consumption of solid fuels, especially in rural areas» [Azhgaliyeva et al., 2021: 410].

In the early years of independence, cross-border relations, the mutual influence of ethnic communities, and transnational cultural and religious processes in the post-Soviet period were closely interconnected. This indicates that the ethnic and cultural interaction between Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan also extended to the sphere of religious and social movements. For instance, after the collapse of the USSR, the Tablighi Jama'at movement became widespread in both Kyrgyzstan and Kazakhstan. Kyrgyz tablighis are described not as passive recipients of external influence, but rather as transnational actors actively exchanging religious ideas and social networking practices across borders [Ismailbekova and Nasriddinov, 2012: 177]».

The Kyrgyz population in Kazakhstan is primarily concentrated in the southern regions—Zhambyl, Almaty, and Turkistan oblasts. In the 1990s, the intensification of cross-border trade and seasonal labor migration contributed to the stabilization of their demographic presence. However, during this period, internal migration processes became more dynamic due to economic hardships and urbanization, resulting in significant changes in the rural–urban distribution of ethnic groups.

The Kazakh population in Kyrgyzstan experienced similar conditions. The majority, residing in the Chui, Issyk-Kul, and Talas regions, maintained strong kinship and cultural ties with Kazakhstan. While part of this community repatriated to their historical homeland during the early years of independence, the remaining groups successfully adapted to the socio-economic environment of Kyrgyz society.

A comparative analysis demonstrates that the key factors influencing the demographic transformation of ethnic groups in both countries were migration, economic adaptation, national policy, and the revival of ethnic identity. In Kazakhstan, the inclusive model of ethnic policy promoted civic integration among diverse groups, whereas in Kyrgyzstan, the emphasis was placed on strengthening national identity. These demographic dynamics reveal the cultural implications of ethnic transformation processes in the region [Kuchinke and Ardichvili, 2002: 3]. It also highlights the dynamics of interethnic interaction between the Kazakh and Kyrgyz communities, including patterns of coexistence, cultural exchange, and integration. Migration between the two groups affected the ethno-demographic balance by increasing ethnic diversity in border regions and contributing to labor mobility and social integration.

These differences also influenced ethnodemographic indicators. Although the proportion of ethnic groups in both countries was relatively equal in the early years of independence, in the following period a gradual decline was observed in the share of Kyrgyz in Kazakhstan and the number of Kazakhs in Kyrgyzstan. This trend was largely associated with external migration processes. It also reflects Kyrgyzstan's (and, to a lesser extent, Kazakhstan's) socio-economic dependence, highlighting the significance of labor migration in the region [Tarr, 2016: 17]. However, in both societies, cultural ties between ethnic groups have not been interrupted, and kinship-based social and economic relations continue to persist in the present period.

Results. The findings of the study indicate that during the first decade of independence, two main factors influenced the ethnodemographic transformation in Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan — migration and economic adaptation. In the course of this research, we place particular emphasis on these two key factors.

First of all, it is important to mention the new political system of independent states that emerged in the post-Soviet space between 1991 and 2000 in the form of a Commonwealth. During this period, the foundation for political and economic relations among the CIS countries was laid, creating opportunities to address many issues between these newly independent states. Various meetings were held to strengthen cooperation among post-Soviet countries. For example, on January 22, 1993, at the Council of Heads of State in Minsk, the Charter of the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) was adopted. The Charter consists of nine sections defining the goals and principles of the CIS, membership, collective security and military-political cooperation, dispute resolution and conflict prevention, cooperation in economic, social, and legal spheres, as well as the structure of CIS bodies, inter-parliamentary cooperation, financial provisions, and general concluding articles. The Charter was established in accordance with international standards, and from that time on, the political and economic relations of the CIS countries were conducted on the basis of this document [Modern National History, 2004: 415].

Since 1992, the leaders of Kazakhstan and the Central Asian republics — N. Nazarbayev, I. Karimov, A. Akaev, S. Niyazov, and E. Rakhmonov — held regular meetings to discuss and address key regional issues such as resolving the political crisis in Tajikistan, developing economic relations, delimiting borders, addressing the Aral Sea problem, and strengthening cooperation with international organizations and neighboring countries. As these relations evolved, in 1997 Kazakhstan, Uzbekistan, and Kyrgyzstan signed a Treaty of Eternal Friendship and Cooperation, which marked a significant political step [Adambek, 2010: 148]. Most of the political issues between Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan were subsequently resolved within the framework of such bilateral and multilateral agreements.

Migration Process. The dynamics of population growth are directly related to natural increase rather than migration factors [Murzakhodzhayev, et al., 2025: 169]. The migration process was one of the most significant factors contributing to changes in the ethnodemographic structure. During the 1990s, both countries experienced intensified internal and external migration flows, which led to shifts in their ethnic composition. As the number of European populations emigrating from Kazakhstan to Russia and Germany declined, the share of Kyrgyz labor migrants arriving from Kyrgyzstan increased. Conversely, in Kyrgyzstan, economic factors stimulated both temporary and permanent migration abroad — primarily to Russia and Kazakhstan. These movements had a direct impact on the quantitative proportions of ethnic groups.

The specific features of national policies also influenced the direction of ethnodemographic transformation. In Kazakhstan, measures aimed at ensuring the legal status of ethnic groups, supporting cultural development, and promoting language policy contributed to strengthening interethnic stability. In Kyrgyzstan, priority was given to reinforcing national identity and enhancing the status of the state language, which affected the level of social adaptation among ethnic communities.

According to the data provided by the Bureau of National Statistics of the Agency for Strategic Planning and Reforms of the Republic of Kazakhstan in the report «*National Composition, Religious Beliefs, and Language Proficiency in the Republic of Kazakhstan*», the number of Kyrgyz who migrated to Kazakhstan has shown a noticeable increase throughout the years of independence, clearly reflecting a positive growth trend (Figure 1). Meanwhile, the exact number of Kyrgyz in Kazakhstan and Kazakhs in Kyrgyzstan as of 1991 is determined based on the last Soviet census conducted in 1989. The next census in independent Kazakhstan was held only in 1999.

According to the 1989 census, the number of Kyrgyz living in Kazakhstan was 46,700 people, which accounted for 0.3 percent of the country's total population [QazStat.kz]. Correspondingly, the number of Kazakhs in Kyrgyzstan was found to be approximately the same. As shown in the diagram, at the time of the collapse of the Soviet Union, both the Kyrgyz and Kazakh peoples experienced a process of returning to their historical homelands. In the subsequent years, as the newly independent states began to stabilize, migration once again became a significant trend due to emerging economic and social factors.

It should be noted that the data on the number of Kazakhs in Kyrgyzstan were obtained from the official website of the National Statistical Committee of the Kyrgyz Republic, while information on the Kyrgyz population in Kazakhstan was sourced from the Bureau of National Statistics of the Republic of Kazakhstan.




Fig. 1. Numerical dynamics of Kazakhs in Kyrgyzstan and Kyrgyz in Kazakhstan.

Note: The diagram was compiled by the author based on open-source data

To gain a deeper understanding of the numerical dynamics of Kazakhs in Kyrgyzstan and Kyrgyz in Kazakhstan, the diagram also includes data up to the year 2021. However, the primary research period of this study covers the years 1990–2000. Statistical data illustrate the changes in the population size and distribution of Kazakhs in Kyrgyzstan and Kyrgyz in Kazakhstan, indicating mutual migration processes and adaptation trends.

It is well known that the traditional homelands of the Kazakh and Kyrgyz peoples have been located within a shared ethnographic and geographical space for centuries. Historically, Kazakh tribes and Kyrgyz communities lived side by side, with their summer and winter pastures situated along the foothills of the mountains and in the valleys of the Shu, Talas, and Syr Darya rivers. Both nations were part of the Soviet Union. As history records, during the 1920s–1930s, the Soviet government carried out national delimitation (territorial division based on ethnicity) in Central Asia. At that time, the borders between the Kazakh ASSR and the Kyrgyz Autonomous Region were established. Territories historically inhabited by Kazakhs—such as the areas around Karakol, Tokmak, Talas, Shu, and the northwestern part of Lake Issyk-Kul—were included within the Kyrgyz autonomy. From our perspective, it can be assumed that the majority of Kazakhs currently residing in Kyrgyzstan are descendants of those who remained in their ancestral lands after this territorial division. In the modern legal context, since the official agreement on the state border between Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan was finalized in 2001, this fact now holds only historical significance.

An analysis of the interviews published on Weproject.media under the title «Kyrgyz People in Kazakhstan: «*I Was Born in Kyrgyzstan, but Kazakhstan Has Become My Second Homeland*» (<https://weproject.media/kz/articles/detail/aza-standa-y-yr-yzdar-men-yr-yzstanda-tuyldyn-bira-aza-stan-meni-ekinshi-otanyma-aynaldy/>) reveals two main reasons behind the migration of Kyrgyz people to Kazakhstan in the early years of independence. The first and most significant reason was employment opportunities, while the second was the pursuit of higher education (Figures 2 and 3).





Fig. 2 and 3. Opinions of Kyrgyz people living in Kazakhstan from open sources
[Weproject.media, 2018]

Figures 2 and 3 illustrate the opinions of representatives of the Kyrgyz diaspora in Kazakhstan published in open sources, highlighting their patterns of economic and social adaptation. These narratives provide insights into how individual migration experiences are shaped not only by economic incentives but also through cultural and familial connections.

Figure 2 features Daniyar, who explains that his parents moved to Kazakhstan in 1996 for business purposes. They engaged in trade relations with the United Arab Emirates, Pakistan, India, and Turkey. According to Daniyar, the open market conditions in Kazakhstan, along with the cultural and mental affinity between Kazakhs and Kyrgyz, facilitated their adaptation and allowed them to conduct business without major difficulties. This example illustrates how the Kyrgyz diaspora achieved economic adaptation through trade and entrepreneurial activities.

Figure 3 presents the opinion of a respondent named Nursultan, who notes that his parents came from the Nookat district of the Osh region and migrated to Kazakhstan in the early 1990s to pursue higher education. Nursultan's father had previously studied in Bryansk, but after the collapse of the USSR, he decided to continue his studies in Kazakhstan. Upon graduation, he started his own business. This example demonstrates that education and professional self-realization played a crucial role in the migration of Kyrgyz diaspora representatives to Kazakhstan. It also highlights the importance of professionalism and entrepreneurship as a natural continuation of individual social mobility and economic adaptation.

Among the respondents was a man named Iskander, who said: «We moved to Almaty in 1997. My mother is Kazakh, so she wanted to live in Kazakhstan. My mother's relatives live in Kyzylorda, and my father's relatives live in Osh. We decided to live in Almaty because it is located between Kyzylorda and Osh» [Weproject.media, 2018]. This statement demonstrates that kinship ties also played a significant role among the reasons for Kyrgyz migration to Kazakhstan.

Economic Adaptation Factors. «Economic adaptation» refers to how ethnic groups responded to changes in the new market relations and economic systems during the post-independence period, what directions they adapted to, and what limitations and opportunities they encountered. An analysis of the adaptation of ethnic Kazakhs and Kyrgyz in Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan reveals the significance of several key factors, including:

- historical and social structure;
- local economic structure and geographical factors;
- transition to market relations and legal-institutional reforms;
- entrepreneurship and individual initiative;

- access to infrastructure and markets;
- education, skills, and human capital;
- climatic and environmental factors.

The economic adaptation factor determined the position of ethnic groups within the social structure. In Kazakhstan, Kyrgyz people were primarily concentrated in agriculture and private entrepreneurship, whereas Kazakhs in Kyrgyzstan demonstrated economic activity in trade and livestock farming. The formation of market relations in both countries required ethnic groups to adapt to the new economic environment.

Economic adaptation for ethnic Kazakhs and Kyrgyz depended on multiple factors, including social structure, legal changes, market relations, financial opportunities, education, geography, climate, and others. A comparative analysis of the economic adaptation factors in the two countries (Table 1) shows that in both contexts, ethnic groups adapted to trade, livestock and crop farming, entrepreneurship, and small business sectors.

Table 1. A comparative analysis of the economic adaptation factors

Comparison Dimension	Kyrgyz in Kazakhstan	Kazakhs in Kyrgyzstan
Agriculture / Livestock	Agricultural activities, crop cultivation, gardening, livestock breeding; development of agriculture and farmer initiatives	Livestock farming, trade, tourism services
Trade and Market Economy	Trade between urban and rural areas, markets, opportunities for re-export	Distribution of goods through markets, use of social networks for trade
Entrepreneurship / Small Business	State programs supporting entrepreneurship; growth in small business, creative industries, and service sectors	Small business, services, market trade, logistics
State Support and Legislation	Legislation, tax incentives, «Oralman» program, land allocation policies	Legislative and infrastructure conditions (limited financial resources and logistical challenges)
Financing, Microcredit, Start-up Capital	Better state support, banking system, project grants, and agricultural subsidies	Limited financial resources, intermediary relations in the market, reliance on community capital

Investments in research and development and experimental-design activities have a positive impact on productivity, innovation, and economic growth [Yussupov et al., 2023: 69]. This finding highlights the significance of innovative activity in the development of the Kazakhstani economy. The entrepreneurial adaptation of the Kyrgyz diaspora is realized through the effective utilization of opportunities within this economic environment.

Regarding state support, it is evident that the Government of the Republic of Kazakhstan provides relatively stronger assistance through legislative optimization, tax incentives, and various subsidies. At the same time, other limitations and challenges must also be considered. For instance, in regions with limited resources, land quality, irrigation, and water management systems for crop production are often inadequate. Transportation costs are high in mountainous and remote areas. Shortages of education and skills further constrain economic adaptation. The introduction of innovative technologies and the use of marketing tools are limited. Poor infrastructure also negatively affects economic adaptation. Additionally, environmental risks, such as droughts and climate change, may act as potential obstacles to economic adjustment.

The economic adaptation of the Kyrgyz diaspora in Kazakhstan is closely aligned with their national mentality and social values. This adaptation process is characterized by high labor activity and the ability to adjust to the new economic environment [Bodaukhan and Jusibaliyeva, 2021: 195]. In contrast, the majority of Kazakhs in Kyrgyzstan are predominantly adapted to agriculture. In Kyrgyzstan, agriculture is not only a part of the economy but also a way of life for a significant portion of the population. Although the country is considered agrarian, the agricultural sector has struggled to achieve a stable and sustainable growth trajectory [Janybekkyzy, 2024].

Overall, the interaction of these two factors – the economic adaptation strategies of the respective ethnic groups – shapes the ethnodemographic profile of the populations in Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan and lays the foundation for current ethnic stability and cultural continuity.

Conclusion. The results of this study indicate that during the first decade of independence, ethnodemographic transformations in Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan were closely linked to the social, economic, and migratory development patterns of the two countries. The economic crisis of the 1990s, the establishment of market relations, and the intensification of labor migration significantly influenced the settlement structures of Kazakh and Kyrgyz populations.

The growth of the Kyrgyz diaspora in Kazakhstan was primarily driven by trade, education, and kinship ties. Their adaptation process was largely realized through economic activity and small-scale entrepreneurship. The ability of Kyrgyz migrants to adjust to the new environment, respond to market demands, and strive for social stability enhanced their integrative potential. Cultural and mental affinity also played an important role in this adaptation process.

In examining the Kazakh population in Kyrgyzstan, it was suggested that the number of Kazakhs in the country might have been supplemented by those who remained in their ancestral lands but moved to Kyrgyz territories due to land allocations during the Soviet period. The adaptation process of Kazakhs in Kyrgyzstan showed trends similar to the economic adjustment of the Kyrgyz in Kazakhstan. They primarily engaged in agriculture and trade, striving to achieve socio-economic stability. This mutual interaction reinforced cross-border, economic, and cultural ties between the two countries.

The findings of this study reveal the dynamics of interethnic relations and demographic policy in the post-Soviet space and can serve as a foundation for future research on the social integration of Kazakh and Kyrgyz diasporas. For future researchers, it is recommended to continue studying the social, economic, and cultural adaptation processes of Kazakh and Kyrgyz diasporas in Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan post-2000, highlighting the importance of long-term research on the social integration of ethnic groups. This approach allows for a better understanding of their societal position and the preservation of their ethnic identity.

Literature

Azhgaliyeva, et al., 2021 – *Azhgaliyeva D., Mishra R., Karymshakov K., Kerimray A., Kapsalyamova Z.* What determines coal consumption for residential heating in Kazakhstan and the Kyrgyz Republic? *Australasian Journal of Environmental Management*. 2021. № 28(4). Pp. 410–432. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/14486563.2021.1989328>

Gudkov, 1993 – *Gudkov L. D.* Attitudes Toward Russians in the Union Republics. *Russian Social Science Review*. 1993. № 34(1). Pp. 52–69. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2753/RSS1061-1428340152>

Janybekkyzy, 2024 – *Janybekkyzy Myrzaiym*. How Kyrgyzstan Adapts Agriculture to New Climatic Challenges? 2024. URL: <https://cabar.asia/en/how-kyrgyzstan-adapts-agriculture-to-new-climatic-challenges>

Ismailbekova, Nasridinov, 2012 – *Ismailbekova A., Nasridinov E.* Transnational Religious Networks in Central Asia: Structure, Travel, and Culture of Kyrgyz Tablighi Jama'at. *Transnational Social Review*. 2012. № 2(2). Pp. 177–195. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/21931674.2012.10820733>

QazStat, 2025 – *QazStat*. Халық санағы. Үлттүк статистика бюросы. Қазақстан Республикасы Стратегиялық жоспарлау және реформалар агенттігі. 2025. URL: <https://stat.gov.kz/>

Kuchinke, Ardichvili, 2002 – *Kuchinke K. P., Ardichvili A.* Work-Related Values of Managers and Subordinates in Manufacturing Companies in Germany, Georgia, Kazakhstan, the Kyrgyz Republic, Russia, and the US. *Journal of Transnational Management Development*. 2002. № 7(1). Pp. 3–25. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1300/J130v07n01_02

Mabogunje, 1970 – *Mabogunje A. L.* Systems approach to a theory of rural-urban migration. *Geographical Analysis*. 1970. № 2(1). Pp. 1–18.

Spoorenberg, 2013 – *Spoorenberg T.* Fertility changes in Central Asia since 1980. *Asian Population Studies*. 2013. № 9(1). Pp. 50–77. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/17441730.2012.752238>

Tarr, 2016 – *Tarr D. G.* The Eurasian Economic Union of Russia, Belarus, Kazakhstan, Armenia, and the Kyrgyz Republic: Can It Succeed Where Its Predecessor Failed? *Eastern European Economics*. 2016. № 54(1). Pp. 1–22. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00128775.2015.1105672>

Yussupov, et al., 2023 – *Yussupov U.B., Aliyev M.K., Kazhukhamedova A.A., Aitkhozhina L.Z., Zhumatayeva B.A.* The Impact of RandD on Sustainable Development: a Literature Review. *Economy: strategy and practice*. 2023. № 18(3). Pp. 68–83. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51176/1997-9967-2023-3-68-83>

Zhumanbayev, Zhumanbay, 2023 – *Zhumanbayev D.N., Zhumanbay R.N.* Historiography of the formation and development of public and political associations of Independent Kazakhstan // *Otan Tarihy*. 2023. Vol. 26. No 1. Pp. 93–107. DOI: https://doi.org/10.51943/1814-6961_2023_1_93

Абдирайымова және Сарсенбаев, 2019 – *Абдирайымова А., Сарсенбаев А.* Тәуелсіз Қазақстан тарихының кезеңдеру мәселе // *Отан тарихы*. 2019. № 3(87). 43–57-66. URL: <https://otan.history.iie.kz/main/article/view/469/230>

Адамбек, 2010 – *Адамбек Б.К.* 1991–2000 жж. ТМД кеңістігіндегі саяси қатынастар. *Вестник Карагандинского университета. Серия «История. Философия»*. 2010. № 4(60). 147–150-бб.

Бодаухан және Джусибалиева, 2018 – *Бодаухан К., Джусибалиева А.* Этникалық көші-қонның ҚР ауылшаруашылығындағы жұмысбастылыққа әсери. *Сейфуллин атындағы Қазақ агротехникалық университетінің Фылым жарышы*. 2018. № 4 (99). С. 194–204. URL: <https://bulletinofscience.kazatu.edu.kz/index.php/bulletinofscience/article/view/379>

Мәдениет, 2019 – *Мәдениет Әдебиет*. Қазақтар мен қыргыздардың айырмашылығы. 2019. URL: <https://qamshy.kz/kz/article/47200-qazaqtar-men-qyrgyhdardynh-ayyurmashylyghy>

Мурзаходжаев және басқалары, 2025 – *Мурзаходжаев Қ.М., Әпендиев Т.Ә., Қуанбай О.Б.* Қазақстан мен Өзбекстандағы демографиялық үдерістер (тәуелсіздік жылдардағы мәліметтер бойынша өзара салыстырмалы талдау) // *Отан тарихы*. 2025. Т. 28. № 1. 165–176-66. DOI: https://doi.org/10.51943/2788-9718_2025_28_1_165-176

Новейшая Отечественная история, 2004 – *Новейшая Отечественная история. XX век*. 2004. М.: Владос. 534 с.

WEproject.media, 2018 – *WEproject.media*. Қазақстандағы қыргыздар: «Мен Қыргызстанда туылдым, бірақ Қазақстан менің екінші отаныма айналды». 2018. URL: <https://weproject.media/kz/articles/detail/aza-standa-y-yr-yzdar-men-yr-yzstanda-tuyldym-bira-aza-stan-meni-ekinshi-otanyma-aynaldy/>

References

Azhgaliyeva, et al., 2021 – *Azhgaliyeva D., Mishra R., Karymshakov K., Kerimray A., Kapsalyamova Z.* What determines coal consumption for residential heating in Kazakhstan and the Kyrgyz Republic? *Australasian Journal of Environmental Management*. 2021. № 28(4). Pp. 410–432. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/14486563.2021.1989328>

Abdiraiymova and Sarsenbayev, 2019 – *Abdiraiymova A., Sarsenbayev A.* (2019). Тәuelsiz Qazaqstan tarihynin kezeñdelu mässelesi [The Periodization of the History of Independent Kazakhstan]. *Otan Tarihy*. 2019. № 3(87). Pp. 43–57 URL: <https://otan.history.iie.kz/main/article/view/469/230>. [in Kazakh]

Adambek, 2010 – *Adambek B.K.* 1991–2000 j.j. TMD кеңістігіндегі саяси қатынастар [Political Relations in the CIS Space in 1991–2000]. *Bulletin of Karaganda University. Series. History. Philosophy*. 2010. № 4(60). Pp. 147–150. [in Kazakh]

Bodaughan, Jusibaliyeva, 2018 – *Bodaughan K., Jusibaliyeva A.* Etnikalyq koshi-qonnyн QR awylsharuaşılığындагы jumysbastylyqka әсери [The Impact of Ethnic Migration on Employment in the Agricultural Sector of the Republic of Kazakhstan]. *Bulletin of Science of S. Seifullin Kazakh Agrotechnical University*. 2018. № 4(99). Pp. 194–204. URL: <https://bulletinofscience.kazatu.edu.kz/index.php/bulletinofscience/article/view/379>. [in Kazakh]

Gudkov, 1993 – *Gudkov L. D.* Attitudes Toward Russians in the Union Republics. *Russian Social Science Review*. 1993. № 34(1). Pp. 52–69. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2753/RSS1061-1428340152>

Janybekkyzy, 2024 – *Janybekkyzy Myrzaiym*. How Kyrgyzstan Adapts Agriculture to New Climatic Challenges? 2024. URL: <https://cabar.asia/en/how-kyrgyzstan-adapts-agriculture-to-new-climatic-challenges>

Ismailbekova, Nasritdinov, 2012 – *Ismailbekova A., Nasritdinov E.* Transnational Religious Networks in Central Asia: Structure, Travel, and Culture of Kyrgyz Tablighi Jama'at. *Transnational Social Review*. 2012. № 2(2). Pp. 177–195. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/21931674.2012.10820733>

QazStat, 2025 – *QazStat*. Халық санағы. Үлттық статистика бюросы. Қазақстан Республикасы Статегиялық жоспарлар және реформалар агенттігі. 2025. URL: <https://stat.gov.kz/>

Kuchinke, Ardichvili, 2002 – *Kuchinke K. P., Ardichvili A.* Work-Related Values of Managers and Subordinates in Manufacturing Companies in Germany, Georgia, Kazakhstan, the Kyrgyz Republic, Russia, and the US. *Journal of Transnational Management Development*. 2002. № 7(1). Pp. 3–25. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1300/J130v07n01_02

Madeniet, 2019 – *Madeniet Ädebiet*. Qazaqtar men qyrgyzdardyn ayırmışılığı [The Differences between Kazakhs and Kyrgyzs]. *Qamshy*. 2019. URL: <https://qamshy.kz/kz/article/47200-qazaqtar-men-qyrgyhdardynh-ayyurmashylyghy>. [in Kazakh]

Murzakhodzhayev et al., 2025 – *Murzakhodzhayev K.M., Apendiyev T.A., Kuanbai O.B.* Qazaqstan men Өзбекстандағы demografiyalык үдерістер (täuelsizdik jıldardary mälimetтер boyinşa өзара salystralmaly taldaу) [Demographic Processes in Kazakhstan and Uzbekistan (A Comparative Analysis Based on Data from the Years of Independence)]. *Otan Tarihy*. 2025. Vol. 28, № 1. Pp. 165–176. DOI: https://doi.org/10.51943/2788-9718_2025_28_1_165-176. [in Kazakh]

Modern National History, 2004 – *Noveišaia Otechestvennaiia istoria. XX vek* [Modern National History]. 2004. Vol. 2. Moscow: Vlados, 2004. 534 p. [in Russian]

Mabogunje, 1970 – *Mabogunje A. L.* Systems approach to a theory of rural-urban migration. *Geographical Analysis*. 1970. № 2(1). Pp. 1–18.

Spoorenberg, 2013 – *Spoorenberg T.* Fertility changes in Central Asia since 1980. *Asian Population Studies*. 2013. № 9(1). Pp. 50–77. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/17441730.2012.752238>

Tarr, 2016 – *Tarr D. G.* The Eurasian Economic Union of Russia, Belarus, Kazakhstan, Armenia, and the Kyrgyz Republic: Can It Succeed Where Its Predecessor Failed? *Eastern European Economics*. 2016. № 54(1). Pp. 1–22. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00128775.2015.1105672>

Yussupov, et al., 2023 – *Yussupov U.B., Aliyev M.K., Kazhymukhametova A.A., Aitkhozhina L.Z., Zhumatayeva B.A.* The Impact of RandD on Sustainable Development: a Literature Review. *Economy: strategy and practice*. 2023. № 18(3). Pp. 68–83. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51176/1997-9967-2023-3-68-83>

Zhumanbayev and Zhumanbay, 2023 – *Zhumanbayev D.N., Zhumanbay R.N.* Historiography of the formation and development of public and political associations of Independent Kazakhstan // *Otan Tarihy*. 2023. Vol.26. № 1. Pp. 93–107. DOI: https://doi.org/10.51943/1814-6961_2023_1_93

WEproject.media, 2018 – *WEproject.media*. Qazaqstanдағы қыргыздар: «Мен Қыргызстанда туылдым, бірақ Qazaqstan менің екінші отаныма айналды» [Kyrgyz in Kazakhstan: «I Was Born in Kyrgyzstan, but Kazakhstan Has Become My Second Homeland】. 2018. URL: <https://weproject.media/kz/articles/detail/aza-standa-y-yr-yzdar-men-yr-yzstanda-tuyldym-bira-aza-stan-meni-ekinshi-otanyma-aynaldy/> [in Kazakh]

**МАЗМУНЫ/ СОДЕРЖАНИЕ/CONTENT
ОТАН ТАРИХЫ. 2025. Т. 28. № 4**

Uryustymova A., Sadvakassova Zh., Omurova Zh.

KAZAKHSTAN–KYRGYZSTAN CULTURAL DIPLOMACY (2015–2025):
THE ROLE OF TURKSOY AND OTHER CULTURAL PLATFORMS.....990

Sailaubaeva A.K., Zhumatai S.

THE ROLE OF THE AKHMET RIZA MADRASAH IN THE EDUCATIONAL
AND ENLIGHTENMENT ACTIVITIES OF THE SEMEY REGION.....1000

Akshanova A.M., Otepova G.E., Kabidenova Zh.D.

NATIONAL PERSONNEL POLICY IN THE PAVLODAR REGION UNDER
THE FUNCTIONAL NORM OF KORENIZATSIIA (INDIGENIZATION).....1009

Kapassova G., Sadvakassova Zh., Kaliyeva K.

HISTORICAL FORMATION AND DEVELOPMENT TRENDS OF TOURISM
IN THE ALAKOL AND ISSYK-KUL REGIONS: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS.....1020

Dossymova M.K.

THE GENERAL SITUATION OF THE OTTOMAN EMPIRE AND RUSSIA
IN THE FINAL STAGES OF THE FIRST WORLD WAR.....1033

Baigabatova N., Abdrahim M.

ADAPTATION CHALLENGES OF ETHNIC REPATRIATES IN
POST-SOVIET KAZAKHSTAN: A HISTORICAL-CONTEXTUAL ANALYSIS.....1050

Dalayeva T., Idrissova A.

VISUAL SYMBOLISM OF ECONOMIC HISTORY IN TEXTBOOKS ON THE
MODERN HISTORY OF KAZAKHSTAN (SECOND HALF
OF THE 20TH–EARLY 21 CENTURIES).....1063

Sadvakassova Zh., Kapassova G.

KAZAKHSTAN KYRGYZ AND KYRGYZSTAN KAZAKHS:
ETHNODEMOGRAPHIC TRANSFORMATION AND MIGRATION
IN THE FIRST DECADE OF INDEPENDENCE.....1080

Zhussip S.A., Nurpeisov Y.K., Maslov Kh.B.

ALASH ORDA'S SOVEREIGN FOREIGN POLICY: NEGOTIATIONS WITH
THE CZECHOSLOVAK DELEGATION (1918–1920).....1091

Токашева А.Н., Жуманова А.3.

1944 ЖЫЛҒЫ БКП(Б) ОРТАЛЫҚ КОМИТЕТІНДЕГІ ТАРИХШЫЛАР КЕҢЕСІ:
КЕҢЕС ТАРИХНАМАСЫНДАҒЫ ИДЕОЛОГИЯЛЫҚ БАҒЫТ.....1107

Сулейменова А.Т.

РЕСПУБЛИКАЛЫҚ БАҚЫЛАУ КОМИССИЯЛАРЫНЫҢ ҚҰЖАТТАРЫ ҚАЗАҚСТАНДА 1925–1933-ЖЫЛДАРЫ ЖУРГІЗІЛГЕН НАУҚАНДАРДЫ ЗЕРТТЕУДІҢ ТАРИХИ ДЕРЕККӨЗІ РЕТИНДЕ.....1117

Абсаликов А.А., Бисенова Г.А.

ИСТОРИЧЕСКИЕ ИСТОЧНИКИ О КОК ОРДЕ (СИНЕЙ ОРДЕ) И АК ОРДЕ (БЕЛОЙ ОРДЕ): ПРОБЛЕМЫ ЛОКАЛИЗАЦИИ И РЕКОНСТРУКЦИИ В ИСТОРИКО-ГЕОГРАФИЧЕСКОМ КОНТЕКСТЕ.....1136

Молдин Б.А., Мәден А.Т.

ХХ ФАСЫРДЫҢ 20-30 ЖЫЛДАРЫНДАҒЫ ҚАЗАҚСТАНДАҒЫ ХАЛЫҚ НАРАЗЫЛЫҚТАРЫ МЕН КӨТЕРІЛІСТЕРІ МӘСЕЛЕСІНЕ ТАРИХНАМАЛЫҚ ШОЛУ.....1148

Бейсенбаева Г.К., Касенова А.Д., Богенбаева А.К.

ПОЛЕВЫЕ АРХЕОЛОГИЧЕСКИЕ ИССЛЕДОВАНИЯ ДЛЯ ШКОЛЬНИКОВ.....1166

Рахметова Ә., Сарсембаева Г.

ҚАЗАҚСТАНДАҒЫ ДЕМОГРАФИЯЛЫҚ ДАМУДЫҢ ЖАҢА БАҒЫТТАРЫ (2021–2025 жж.).....1177

Есназарова З.Б.

АДМИНИСТРАТИВНО-ТЕРРИОРИАЛЬНОЕ И СОЦИАЛЬНО-ДЕМОГРАФИЧЕСКОЕ РАЗВИТИЕ ГОРОДОВ КАРАКАЛПАКСТАНА. 1873–1926 гг.....1189

Базарбаев К., Амирбек А., Акдениз Ә.

ХХ ФАСЫР БАСЫНДАҒЫ ҚАЗАҚ ЗИЯЛЫЛАРЫНЫҢ ҚОҒАМДЫҚ-САЯСИ САНАСЫНДАҒЫ ТУРКИЯ ФАКТОРЫ.....1205

Шолахов М.Г., Алпысбес М.А.

РЕФОРМЫ ЖАНГИР-ХАНА.....1215

Мамраймов С.Д.

1937 ЖЫЛҒЫ №00447 БҮЙРЫҚ ЖӘНЕ ҚАЗАҚСТАНДАҒЫ КЕҢЕСТИК РЕПРЕССИЯЛЫҚ АППАРАТТЫҢ ҚҰРЫЛЫМДЫҚ-ИНСТИТУЦИОНАЛДЫҚ ТЕТИКТЕРІ.....1230

Ескалиев С.А., Айтменов Ж.К.

КОММУНИСТИК ПАРТИЯ МЕН КЕҢЕСТИК МЕМЛЕКЕТТІЛІК: ТОТАЛИТАРЛЫҚ ЖҮЙЕНИҢ ИНСТИТУЦИОНАЛДЫҚ ЭВОЛЮЦИЯСЫ (ТАРИХИ АСПЕКТ)......1245

Сагнайкызы С., Сартаев С.А., Нусупбаева С.А.

КОРРУПЦИЯ И ПОЛИТИЗАЦИЯ: ОТРАЖЕНИЕ ТРАНСФОРМАЦИИ ПРАВООХРАНИТЕЛЬНОЙ СИСТЕМЫ КАЗАХСКОЙ АССР НА СТРАНИЦАХ ГАЗЕТЫ «ЕҢБЕКШІ ҚАЗАҚ» (КОНЕЦ 1920-Х – НАЧАЛО 1930-Х ГОДОВ).1260

<i>Куаныш С.О., Айдосынова Г.Ж., Мурзаходжаев К.М.</i> АТЫРАУ ӨҢІРІНДЕГІ ҚҰҒЫН-СҮРГІН: ӨЛІМ ЖАЗАСЫНА КЕСІЛГЕН ДІН ӨКІЛДЕРІ.....	1271
<i>Хайдаров Т.Ф., Бейсембаева А.Р.</i> XIV ФАСЫРДЫҢ ЕКІНШІ ЖАРТЫСЫНДА ЖОШЫ ҰЛЫСЫНДА БОЛҒАН ИНДЕТ.....	1284
<i>Түлебаев Д.Ж., Симтиков Ж.К.</i> ҚАЗАҚ ХАЛҚЫНЫҢ ТАРИХЫНДАҒЫ ТІЛДІҢ ӘЛЕУМЕТТІК РӨЛІ.....	1293
<i>Ашимова У.А., Қалишабаева Б.К.</i> ҚАЗАҚ ӘЙЕЛДЕРІНІҢ ДӘСТҮРЛІ КИМІНДЕГІ ТРАНСФОРМАЦИЯЛЫҚ ҮРДІСТЕР: МӘДЕНИ ЖАД ПЕН ҰЛТТЫҚ БІРЕГЕЙЛІК ТҮЙІСІНДЕ.....	1304
<i>Kabuldinov Z.Y., Mussabalina G., Beisembayeva A.</i> KAZAKH-OIRAT RELATIONS IN THE CONTEXT OF REGIONAL TRANSFORMATIONS IN CENTRAL ASIA (15 th – EARLY 17 th CENTURIES).....	1319
<i>Ергабылов А.Е.</i> ЖЕТИСУ ЖӘНЕ ІЛЕ АЛАБЫНДАҒЫ ОРТАФАСЫРЛЫҚ ҚАЛАЛАРДЫҢ ЗЕРТТЕЛУІНДЕГІ КЕЙБІР ӨЗЕКТІ МӘСЕЛЕЛЕР (1991-2023 ЖЖ. ЗЕРТТЕУЛЕР НЕГІЗІНДЕ).....	1331

Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов ат. Тарих және этнология институты
Алматы қ., Шевченко көш., 28
Тел/факс: +7 727 261 67 19
e-mail: kazhistory@bk.ru